



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,150	06/25/2003	Birgit K. Jaitner	PP-18707.002	1248
27476 7:	590 01/04/2006		EXAMINER	
Chiron Corpo			MCGARR	Y, SEAN
Intellectual Pro	perty - R440			
P.O. Box 8097			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Emeryville, CA 94662-8097			1635	
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/609,150	JAITNER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sean R. McGarry	1635			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any n	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mail d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirred will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u>□</u> 3) <u>□</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Th Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrated is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers	•				
10) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a complicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	ccepted or b) objected to by the E se drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See action is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1635

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6, 9-11, and 17-19, drawn to antisense inhibitors of Sos1, classifiable in class 536, subclass 24.5.
- II. Claim 1, drawn to protein or polypeptide inhibitor of Sos1, classifiable in class 530, subclass 300 and 350.
- III. Claims1 and 7, drawn to antibody inhibitor of Sos1, classifiable in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- IV. Claim 1, drawn to small molecule inhibitor of Sos1, classifiable in class514, subclass 2.
- V. Claims 12-16, drawn to a method of inhibiting expression of Sos1 via antisense molecule, classifiable in class 514, subclass 44.
- VI. Claims 12 and 16, drawn to a method of inhibiting expression of Sos1 in a cell via a polypeptide or protein inhibitor of Sos1, classifiable in class 514, subclass 2.
- VII. Claims 12 and 16, drawn to a method of inhibiting Sos1 expression in a cell via an antibody inhibitor of Sos1, classifiable in class 424, subclass 130.1.
- VIII. Claims 12 and 16, drawn to a method of inhibiting Sos1 expression in a cell via a small molecule inhibitor of Sos1, classifiable in class 514, subclass 1.

Art Unit: 1635

IX. Claims 20-23, drawn to a method of inhibiting Sos1 gene in a cell via a double stranded RNA molecule, classifiable in class 435, subclass 375.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions V-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are different methods 6that use materially different compounds within the methods. The compounds act via different mechanisms and are not interchangeable in their activity. The antisense inhibit the expression of a target mRNA via the recruitment of RNase H or via a direct cleavage of the target. The antibodies inhibit by binding to the protein. The polypeptides may act via a dominant negative mechanism and the double stranded RNAs act to inhibit via the activation of the RISC complex.

Inventions I-IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are different compounds with different chemical compositions with different chemical and/or biological activities that inhibit a target via different and non-interchangeable mechanisms. For example, the antisense inhibit the expression of a target mRNA via the recruitment of RNase H or via a direct cleavage of

Art Unit: 1635

, ii. 6 iii. 1666

the target. The antibodies inhibit by binding to the protein. The polypeptides may act via a dominant negative mechanism.

Inventions (I-IV) and (V-IX) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the generic method of inhibiting Sos1 could be performed via a variety of different compounds as evidenced by the above groups V-IX where it has been shown that the compounds [of I-IV] are materially different, for example.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claim 8 link(s) inventions I-IV. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 8. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions

Art Unit: 1635

shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain

Art Unit: 1635

dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean R. McGarry whose telephone number is (571) 272-0761. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on (571) 272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,150 Page 7

Art Unit: 1635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sean R McGarry Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1635

1655